Quantum particles trapped in a position-dependent mass barrier; a d-dimensional recipe

Omar Mustafa¹ and S. Habib Mazharimousavi²
Department of Physics, Eastern Mediterranean University,
G Magusa, North Cyprus, Mersin 10,Turkey

¹e-mail: omar.mustafa@emu.edu.tr

²e-mail: habib.mazhari@emu.edu.tr

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We consider a free particle, $V\left(r\right)=0$, with a position-dependent mass $m(r)=1/(1+\varsigma^2r^2)^2$ in the d-dimensional Schrödinger equation. The effective potential turns out to be a generalized Pöschl-Teller potential that admits exact solution.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ge, 03.65.Fd,03.65.Ca

1 Introduction

Quantum mechanical particles endowed with position - dependent mass (PDM), M(r), have attracted attention and inspired intense research activities over the years [1-16] They form interesting and useful models for many physical problems. They are used, for example, in the energy density many-body problem [2], in the determination of the electronic properties of the semiconductors [3] and quantum dots [4], in quantum liquids [5], in the 3He clusters [6] and metal clusters [7], in the Bohmian approach to quantum theory [8], full/partial Gaussian wave-packet revival inside an infinite potential [9], etc.

In addition to its practical applicability side, conceptual problems of delicate nature erupt in the study of quantum mechanical systems with position-dependent mass (e.g., momentum operator does not commute with m(r), uniqueness of kinetic energy operator, etc.). Comprehensive discussion on such issues could be found in, e.g., [8,10, and related references therein].

On the other hand, the exact solvability of the d-dimensional Schrödinger equation may very well form the major ingredient for methods that are based on a Liouvillean-type change of variables (cf., e.g., [17-19]) such as the point canonical transformation (PCT) method (cf., e.g., [13,14]). Where, in such

methodologies, the exact solution of the so-called reference Schrödinger equation (eigenvalues and eigenfunctions) is mapped into an exact solution (eigenvalues and eigenfunctions) of the so-called target Schrödinger equation (cf. e.g. [1.14]).

In a recent study, we have introduced a d-dimensional regularization of the PCT-method for some PDM-quantum mechanical particles [1]. Therein, inter-dimensional degeneracies associated with the isomorphism between the angular momentum quantum number ℓ and dimensionality d are incorporated through the central repulsive/attractive core $\ell(\ell+1)/r^2 \longrightarrow \ell_d(\ell_d+1)/r^2$ (where $\ell_d = \ell + (d-3)/2$ for $d \ge 2$) of the spherically symmetric Schrödinger equation (cf, e.g., [20], Gang in [10] and Quesne in [10] for more details).

In this work, we study "free" particles, $V\left(r\right)=0$, trapped in their own position-dependent mass barriers (hence, labeled as quasi-free particles), where inter-dimensional degeneracies remain intact in the d-dimensional radial Schrödinger equation (in atomic units $\hbar=m_{\circ}=1$, the position-dependent mass $M\left(r\right)=m_{\circ}\,m\left(r\right)$, and with $\alpha=\gamma=0$ and $\beta=-1$ in Eq.(1.1) of Tanaka in [10].)

$$\left\{ \frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{\ell_d \left(\ell_d + 1\right)}{r^2} + \frac{m'\left(r\right)}{m\left(r\right)} \left(\frac{d-1}{2r} - \frac{d}{dr}\right) + 2m\left(r\right)E \right\} R_{n_r,\ell}\left(r\right) = 0. \quad (1)$$

Where $n_r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ is the radial quantum number, and m'(r) = dm(r)/dr. Moreover, the d = 1 can be obtained through $\ell_d = -1$ and $\ell_d = 0$ for even and odd parity, $\mathcal{P} = (-1)^{\ell_d + 1}$, respectively (cf. e.g., Mustafa and Znojil in [20]). Nevertheless, the inter-dimensional degeneracies associated with the isomorphism between angular momentum ℓ and dimensionality d builds up the ladder of excited states for any given n_r and nonzero ℓ from the $\ell = 0$ result, with that n_r , by the transcription $d \to d + 2\ell$. That is, if $E_{n_r,\ell}(d)$ is the eigenvalue in d-dimensions then

$$E_{n_r,\ell}(2) \equiv E_{n_r,\ell-1}(4) \equiv \dots \equiv E_{n_r,1}(2\ell) \equiv E_{n_r,0}(2\ell+2)$$
 (2)

for even d, and

$$E_{n_r,\ell}(3) \equiv E_{n_r,\ell-1}(5) \equiv \dots \equiv E_{n_r,1}(2\ell+1) \equiv E_{n_r,0}(2\ell+3)$$
 (3)

for odd d. For more details on inter-dimensional degeneracies the reader may refer to a sample of references in [20].

With the PCT-method in point (cf, e.g., [1]), a substitution of the form $R(r) = g(r) \phi(q(r))$ in (1) would result in $g(r)^2 q'(r) = m(r)$, manifested by the requirement of a vanishing coefficient of the first-order derivative of $\phi(q(r))$ (hence a one-dimensional form of Schrödinger equation is achieved), and $q'(r)^2 = m(r)$ to avoid position-dependent energies-multiplicity (i.e., $2Em(r)/q'(r)^2 \Longrightarrow 2E$). Hence,

$$q(r) = \int^{r} \sqrt{m(t)} dt \implies g(r) = m(r)^{1/4}. \tag{4}$$

This in effect implies

$$\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dq^2} + V_{eff}(q(r)) \right\} \phi_{n_r,\ell_d}(q(r)) = E_d \phi_{n_r,\ell_d}(q(r)), \qquad (5)$$

with an effective potentials

$$V_{eff}\left(q\left(r\right)\right) = \frac{\ell_{d}\left(\ell_{d}+1\right)}{2r^{2}m\left(r\right)} - U_{d}\left(r\right) \tag{6}$$

where

$$U_{d}(r) = \frac{m''(r)}{8m(r)^{2}} - \frac{7m'(r)^{2}}{32m(r)^{3}} + \frac{m'(r)(d-1)}{4rm(r)^{2}}.$$
 (7)

2 Consequences of an asymptotically vanishing mass settings as $r \longrightarrow \infty$

A "free" particle with an asymptotically vanishing position-dependent mass $m(r) = 1/(1+\varsigma^2r^2)^2$ would experience an effective potential

$$V_{eff}(q(r)) = \frac{\varsigma^2}{2} \left[\frac{\varkappa(\varkappa - 1)}{\sin^2(\varsigma q)} + \frac{\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{\cos^2(\varsigma q)} \right] - \frac{\varsigma^2}{2}, \tag{8}$$

where

$$q(r) = \frac{1}{\varsigma} \arctan(\varsigma r) \Rightarrow \varsigma r = \tan(\varsigma q),$$
 (9)

and

$$U_d(r) = -(\varsigma^2 d) \tan^2(\varsigma q) + \frac{\varsigma^2}{2} (1 - 2d)$$
 (10)

In such settings, Eq. (5) reads

$$\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dq^2} + \frac{\varsigma^2}{2} \left[\frac{\varkappa(\varkappa - 1)}{\sin^2(\varsigma q)} + \frac{\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{\cos^2(\varsigma q)} \right] \right\} \phi_{n_r, \ell_d} (q) = \varepsilon \phi_{n_r, \ell_d} (q) , \tag{11}$$

where

$$\varkappa(\varkappa-1) = l_d(l_d+1), \ \lambda(\lambda-1) = l_d(l_d+1) + 2d \text{ and } \varepsilon = E + \frac{1}{2}\varsigma^2.$$
 (12)

Equation (11) is obviously a standard one-dimensional form of Schrödinger equation with a generalized Pöschl-Teller effective potential which admits exact solution of the form

$$\epsilon_{n_r} = \frac{\varsigma^2}{2} (\varkappa + \lambda + 2n_r)^2 \tag{13}$$

$$\phi_{n_r,\ell_d}(q) = C \sin^{\varkappa}(\varsigma q) \cos^{\lambda}(\varsigma q) {}_{2}F_{1}(-n_r,\varkappa + \lambda + n_r,\varkappa + \frac{1}{2};\sin^{2}(\varsigma q))$$
 (14)

with $\varkappa, \lambda > 1$, $\phi_{n_r,\ell_d}(0) = 0$ and $\phi_{n_r,\ell_d}(\frac{\pi}{2\varsigma}) = 0$, as reported by Salem and Montemayor (see Eq.(4.7) in [21]). This in turn would lead to

$$E_{n_r,l_d} = \frac{\varsigma^2}{2} ((c + \frac{1}{2}\Delta + 2n_r)^2 - 1); \ \Delta = \sqrt{(2l_d + 1)^2 + 8d}$$
 (15)

$$R_{n_r,l_d}(r) = \tilde{C} \,\rho^{l_d+1} (1+\rho^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}(2l_d+5+\Delta)} \, _2F_1(-n_r,c+\frac{\Delta}{2}+n_r,c;\frac{\rho^2}{1+\rho^2})$$
 (16)

where $\rho = \varsigma r$, and $c = l_d + \frac{3}{2}$.

However, for $\varkappa = 0, 1$ (a requirement suggested by relation (12) when $\ell_d = 0, -1$) the effective potential in (11) collapses into

$$V_{eff}(q(r)) = \frac{\varsigma^2}{2} \frac{\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{\cos^2(\varsigma q)}.$$
 (17)

Which admits an exact solution

$$E_{n_r} = 2\varsigma^2 (n_r + \frac{\lambda}{2})^2 - \frac{\varsigma^2}{2}$$
 (18)

$$\phi_{n_r,\ell_d}(q) = A \cos^{\lambda}(\varsigma q) {}_{2}F_1(-n_r, n_r + \lambda, \frac{1}{2}, \sin^{2}(\varsigma q)),$$
 (19)

and consequently

$$E_{n_r,0} = 2\varsigma^2 (n_r + \frac{\lambda}{2})^2 - \frac{\varsigma^2}{2}$$
 (20)

$$R_{n_r}(r) = \tilde{A} (1 + \rho^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}(2l_d + 5 + \Delta)} {}_{2}F_{1}(-n_r, c + \frac{\Delta}{2} + n_r, c; \frac{\rho^2}{1 + \rho^2}).$$
 (21)

where $\lambda = (1 + \Delta)/2$.

3 Concluding Remarks

In this letter, we considered a quasi-free particle with an asymptotically vanishing position-dependent mass $m(r) = 1/(1+\varsigma^2r^2)^2$ and radial potential V(r) = 0 (i.e., "free" particle in this sense). We have shown that under these settings the particle experiences an effective potential of the form of a Pöschl-Teller, Eq.(8). The exact solution of which is mapped to match the attendant settings of our quasi-free particle with the above mentioned position-dependent mass.

References

- [1] O Mustafa and S.H Mazharimousavi 2006 "Point canonical transformation d-dimensional regularization" (arXiv: math-ph/0602044)
- [2] A Puente and M Casas Comput. Mater Sci. 2 (1994) 441
- [3] Bastard G 1988 "Wave Mechanics Applied to Semiconductor Heterostructures", Les Editions de Physique, Les Ulis
- [4] L I Serra and E Lipparini Europhys. Lett. 40 (1997) 667
- [5] F Arias de Saaverda, J Boronat, A Polls , and A Fabrocini Phys. Rev. B 50 (1994) 4248
- $[6]\,$ M Barranco , M Pi , S.M Gatica ., E.S Hemandez ., and J. Navarro Phys. Rev. B ${\bf 56}$ (1997) 8997
- [7] A Puente, L I Serra, and M Casas Z. Phys. **D** 31 (1994) 283
- [8] A R Plastino, M Casas and A. Plastino Phys. Lett. **A281**(2001) 297 (and related references therein)
- [9] A Schmidt Phys. Lett. A (2006) (in press)
- [10] T Tanaka J. Phys. A 39 (2006) 219
 - C Quesne, Ann. Phys. **321** (2006) 1221
 - C Gang, Phys. Lett. A **329** (2004) 22
 - A R Plastino, A Rigo, M Casas, F Garcias, and A. Plastino Phys. Rev. A 60 (1999) 4318
- [11] S H Dong and M. Lozada-Cassou Phys. Lett. A 337 (2005) 313
 - I O Vakarchuk J. Phys. A; Math and Gen 38 (2005) 4727
 - C Y Cai, Z Z Ren and G X Ju Commun. Theor. Phys. 43 (2005)1019
- [12] B Bagchi, A Banerjee, C Quesne and V M Tkachuk J. Phys. A; Math and Gen 38 (2005) 2929
 - J Yu and S H Dong Phys. Lett. A 325 (2004)194
 - L Dekar, L Chetouani and T F Hammann J. Math. Phys. 39 (1998) 2551
- [13] C Quesne and V M Tkachuk J. Phys. A; Math and Gen 37 (2004) 4267
 L Jiang, L Z Yi, and C S Jia Phys. Lett. A 345 (2005) 279
 A D Alhaidari Int. J. Theor. Phys. 42 (2003) 2999
- [14] A D Alhaidari Phys. Rev. A 66 (2002) 042116
- [15] R De, R Dutt and U Sukhatme J. Phys. A; Math and Gen 25 (1992) L843

- [16] G Junker J. Phys. A; Math and Gen 23 (1990) L881
- [17] J Liouville J. Math. Pure Appl. 1 (1837)16
- [18] M Znojil and G Lévai J. Math. Phys. **42** (2001)1996 M Znojil " \mathcal{PT} -symmetric form of the Hulthén potential" (2000) (arXiv: math-ph/0002017)
- [19] M Znojil and G Lévai Phys. Lett. A 271 (2000) 327
- [20] D R Herschbach et al "Dimensional Scaling in Chemical Physics" (Kluwer Academic Publishers 1993, Dordrecht, Netherlands.)
 - D R Herschbach J. Chem. Phys. **84** (1986) 838
 - H Taseli J. Math. Chem. 20 (1996) 235
 - O Mustafa and M Znojil J. Phys.A; Math and Gen. 35 (2002) 8929
 - O Mustafa and M Odeh J. Phys. A; Math and Gen. 32 (1999) 6653
 - O Mustafa and M Odeh J. Phys. A; Math and Gen. ${\bf 33}~(2000)~5207$
 - M M Nieto Am. J. Phys. 47 (1979) 1067
- [21] L D Salem and R Montemayor Phys. Rev. A 47 (1993) 105
 S Flügge 1974, Practical Quantum Mechanics, Springer, Berlin.